arXiv:math/9908168v1 [math.CV] 30 Aug 1999

TOPOLOGY OF THE MAXIMAL IDEAL SPACE OF H^∞

Alexander Brudnyi*
Department of Mathematics
Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva
Israel

May 5, 1999

Abstract

We study the structure of the maximal ideal space $M(H^\infty)$ of the algebra $H^\infty = H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ of bounded analytic functions defined on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Based on the fact that $dim\ M(H^\infty) = 2$ we prove for H^∞ the matrix-valued corona theorem. Our results heavily rely on the topological construction describing maximal ideal spaces of certain algebras of continuous functions defined on the covering spaces of compact manifolds.

1. Introduction.

Let H^∞ be the uniform algebra of bounded analytic functions on the unit disk $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with the pointwise multiplication and with the norm

$$||f|| = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|.$$

The maximal ideal space of H^∞ is defined by

$$M(H^\infty) = \{\phi\ : \phi \in Hom(H^\infty, \mathbb{C}),\ \phi \neq 0\}$$

equipped with the weak $*$ topology induced by the dual space of H^∞. It is a compact Hausdorff space. A function $f \in H^\infty$ can be thought of as a continuous function on $M(H^\infty)$ via the Gelfand transform $\hat{f}(\phi) = \phi(f)$ $(\phi \in M(H^\infty))$. Evaluation at a point of $\mathbb{D}$ is an element of $M(H^\infty)$, so $\mathbb{D}$ is naturally embedded into $M(H^\infty)$, and $\hat{f}$ is an extension of f to $M(H^\infty)$. In what follows we avoid writing the hat for the Gelfand transform of f.

---

*Research supported in part by NSERC.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30D15, Secondary 32F05.
Key words and phrases. Bounded holomorphic function, dimension, analytic disks, cohomology.

In 1962, Carleson [C] proved the following famous corona theorem:

Let $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ be functions in H^∞ such that

$$|f_1(z)| + |f_2(z)| + ... + |f_n(z)| \geq \delta > 0 \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then there are H^∞ functions $g_1, g_2, ..., g_n$ so that

$$f_1(z)g_1(z) + f_2(z)g_2(z) + ... + f_n(z)g_n(z) = 1.$$

This result is equivalent to the statement that $\mathbb{D}$ is dense in $M(H^\infty)$. In this paper we consider the following matrix-valued version of the corona theorem:

Suppose that A is a complex, commutative Banach algebra with unit and that $\nu : H^\infty \longrightarrow A$ is a Banach algebraic morphism with dense image. Let $a = (a_{ij})$ be a $(k \times n)$-matrix, $k \leq n$, with entries in A.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that the minors of a of order k do not belong together to any maximal ideal of A. Then there exists a unimodular $(n \times n)$-matrix $\widetilde{a} = (\widetilde{a}_{ij})$, $\widetilde{a}_{ij} \in A$ for all i, j, so that $\widetilde{a}_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.*

In the proof we use the remarkable theorem of Suárez ([S]) asserting that topological dimension of $M(H^\infty)$ equals 2. Similar *complement problems* have been posed and solved by V.Lin for matrices with entries from various functional algebras (see [L] Th.3 and 4).

Examples. (1) Let $A = H^\infty$ and $\nu = id$. According to the corona theorem conditions of Theorem 1.1 can be written as

$$\sum_{s \in S} |A_s(z)| \geq \delta > 0 \qquad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\{A_s\}_{s \in S}$ is the family of minors of a of order k. Theorem 1.1 then implies that there is an invertible $(n \times n)$-matrix with entries in H^∞ which completes a. This statement can be obtained also as the combination of Fuhrmann-Vasyunin theorem and Tolokonnikov's lemma (see [Ni] p.293 for both these results). Unlike the proof in [Ni] which heavily relies upon the structure of H^∞ in the disk, our proof of Theorem 1.1 is of a local topological nature. This allows to obtain similar matrix corona theorems for a wide class of planar domains (see [Bru]).

(2) Let $f_1, ..., f_d$ be functions in H^∞ such that the set

$$S = \{\xi \in M(H^\infty) \ : \ \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |f_i(\xi)| \leq 1\}$$

is not empty. Let A be closure in $C(S)$ of the restriction of H^∞ to S and $\nu : H^\infty \longrightarrow A$ be the restriction homomorphism. Then Theorem 1.1 is applicable to matrix-functions defined on S with entries in A whose minors satisfy (1.1) at each point of S. (It is easy to prove that the maximal ideal space of A is S.)

The second part of our paper deals with the topological description of the analytical part of $M(H^\infty)$. Our results generalize well-known theorems of Hoffman ([Ho]). Based on our main construction we solve the complement problem for some uniform subalgebras of H^∞ that should be thought of as a generalization of the disk algebra of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ continuous up to the boundary. We proceed to the formulation of other results.

A pseudohyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{D}$ is defined by

$$\rho(z,w) = \left|\frac{z-w}{1-\overline{w}z}\right| \qquad (z,w \in \mathbb{D}).$$

For $x, y \in M(H^\infty)$, the formula

$$\rho(x,y) = \sup\{|f(y)| : \ f \in H^\infty, f(x) = 0, ||f|| \leq 1\}$$

gives an extension of ρ to $M(H^\infty)$. The Gleason part of $x \in M(H^\infty)$ is then defined as $P(x) = \{y \in M(H^\infty) : \ \rho(x,y) < 1\}$. It is well known that for $x, y \in M(H^\infty)$ we have $P(x) = P(y)$ or $P(x) \cap P(y) = \emptyset$. Hoffman's classification of Gleason parts ([Ho]) shows that there are only two cases: either $P(x) = \{x\}$ $(x \in M(H^\infty))$ or $P(x)$ is an analytic disk. The former case means that there is a continuous one-to-one and onto map $L_x : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow P(x)$ such that $f \circ L_x \in H^\infty$ for every $f \in H^\infty$. Moreover, any analytic disk is contained in a Gleason part, and any maximal analytic disk is a Gleason part. Let $M_a \subset M(H^\infty)$ denote the set containing all non-trivial Gleason parts (M_a will be called the analytical part of $M(H^\infty)$). In his work [S1] Suárez proved that the set $M(H^\infty) \setminus M_a$ of one point Gleason parts is totally disconnected. One of the main goals of this paper is to describe the topology of M_a.

Let $l^\infty(G)$ be the algebra of bounded complex-valued functions on a discrete group G with the pointwise multiplication and the norm $||x|| = \sup_{g \in G} |x(g)|$. Let βG be the *Stone-Čech compactification* of G, i.e., the maximal ideal space of $l^\infty(G)$ equipped with the Gelfand topology. Then G is naturally embedded into βG as an open everywhere dense subset, and topology on G induced by this embedding coincides with the original one, i.e., is discrete. Every function $f \in l^\infty(G)$ has a unique extension $\hat{f} \in C(\beta G)$. Further, the natural right and left actions of G on itself are extendible to continuous right and left actions of G on βG.
Assume now that G is the fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface M of genus $g \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.2 *(a) There is an open everywhere dense subset $G_{in} \subset \beta G$ invariant with respect to the right and left actions of G and a locally trivial fiber bundle $p : E(M, G_{in}) \longrightarrow M$ over M with the fiber G_{in} such that $E(M, G_{in})$ is homeomorphic to M_a.*
(b) Every bounded uniformly continuous (respectively, Lipschitz) with respect to the metric ρ function f admits a continuous extension $\hat{f}$ to M_a so that for every $x \in M_a$ the function $\hat{f} \circ L_x$ is uniformly continuous (respectively, Lipschitz) with respect to ρ on $\mathbb{D}$.
Here $L_x : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow P(x)$ determines the Gleason part $P(x)$ (see definition above).
(c) Let $F : Y \longrightarrow M_a$ be a continuous mapping of a connected and locally connected space Y to M_a. Then there is $x \in M_a$ so that $F(Y) \subset P(x)$.

In the next section we state our results needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2 and, also, some of consequences of this theorem.

2. Maximal Ideal Spaces of Certain Banach Algebras.

2.1. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the description of maximal ideal spaces of some algebras of continuous functions defined on regular coverings over compact manifolds.

Let $p : M_G \longrightarrow M$ be a Galois covering over a compact manifold M with a covering group G and d be a metric on M_G compatible with its topology and invariant with respect to the action of the group G. Denote by $C_d(M_G)$ the algebra of bounded functions on M_G uniformly continuous with respect to d equipped with the supremum norm. We describe the maximal ideal space of $C_d(M_G)$.

In what follows we assume that M has one of the following structures: continuous, Lipschitz, C^∞, real analytic, complex. Then M_G can be equipped with the same structure as M. Moreover, the group G acts discretely on M_G by mappings which preserve the structure.

It is well-known that M_G can be thought of as a principle fibre bundle over M with the discrete fibre G. Namely, there is an open (finite) covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M by sets homeomorphic to open Euclidean balls in some $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a locally constant cocycle $g = \{g_{ij}\} \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, G)$ such that M_G is isomorphic (in the corresponding category) to the quotient space of the disjoint union $V = \sqcup_{i\in I} U_i \times G$ by the equivalence relation: $U_i \times G \ni (x, g) \sim (x, gg_{ij}) \in U_j \times G$. The identification space is a fibre bundle with projection $p : M_G \longrightarrow M$ induced by the product projection $U_i \times G \longrightarrow U_i$, see, e.g. [Hi], Ch.1. Let βG be the *Stone-Čech compactification* of G and $E(M, \beta G)$ be a locally trivial fibre bundle over M with the fibre βG constructed by the cocycle g. Then as above $E(M, \beta G)$ is homeomorphic to the quotient space of the disjoint union $\widetilde{V} = \sqcup_{i\in I} U_i \times \beta G$ by the equivalence relation: $U_i \times \beta G \ni (x, \xi) \sim (x, \xi g_{ij}) \in U_j \times \beta G$. The projection $\widetilde{p} : E(M, \beta G) \longrightarrow M$ is induced by the product projection $U_i \times \beta G \longrightarrow U_i$. Note that there is a natural embedding $V \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}$ induced by the embedding $G \hookrightarrow \beta G$. This embedding commutes with the corresponding equivalence relations and so determines an embedding of M_G into $E(M, \beta G)$ as an open everywhere dense subset.

Theorem 2.1 *For every $f \in C_d(M_G)$ there is a unique continuous extension $\widehat{f} \in C(E(M, \beta G))$. The extended algebra coincides with $C(E(M, \beta G))$. In particular, $E(M, \beta G)$ is homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space of $C_d(M_G)$.*

We now describe some topological properties of $E(M, \beta G)$.

Let $X_G := \beta G/G$ be the set of co-sets with respect to the right action of G.

Proposition 2.2 *(a) $E(M, \beta G)$ is a compact Hausdorff space with dim $E(M, \beta G) =$ dim M.*
(b) For every $x \in X_G$ there is a continuous one-to-one map $i_x : M_G \longrightarrow E(M, \beta G)$ such that $i_x(M_G) \bigcap i_y(M_G) = \emptyset$ for $x \neq y$ and $E(M, \beta G) = \bigsqcup_{x\in X_G} i_x(M_G)$.
(c) Let $f : V \longrightarrow E(M, \beta G)$ be a continuous mapping of a connected and locally connected space V. Then there is $x \in X_G$ such that $f(V) \subset i_x(M_G)$.

(d) For any different points $x, y \in E(M, \beta G)$ there is a connected one-dimensional compact set $l_{x,y} \subset E(M, \beta G)$ containing them. This set can be chosen locally connected if and only if $x, y \in i_v(M_G)$ for some $v \in X_G$.

We will also show that i_x for $x \in X_G$ may turn out not to be a homeomorphism from M_G onto its image. Further, for a given structure defined on M_G there is a related similar "structure" on $E(M, \beta G)$. Then we prove in these terms an analog of the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem on approximation of functions from $C_d(M_G)$ by "Lipschitz", "C^∞" or "real-analytic" functions on $E(M, \beta G)$. These results generalize a well-known Bernstein theorem on the uniform approximation of bounded uniformly continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by the entire functions bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

2.2. Using the constructions of the preceding section we identify some naturally defined subsets of the analytical part M_a.

Let X be a complex Riemann surface which admits a non-constant bounded holomorphic function. Let $r : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow X$ be the universal covering over X. Then $\mathbb{D}$ is a principle fibre bundle over X with the fibre $H := \pi_1(X)$. Similarly to our main construction we determine the fibre bundle $E(X, \beta H)$ over X with the fibre βH so that there is a natural embedding $\mathbb{D} \hookrightarrow E(X, \beta H)$ as an open everywhere dense subset.

Theorem 2.3 *There is an analytic injective mapping $I : E(X, \beta H) \longrightarrow M_a$, i.e., I is continuous and $f \circ I \circ i_x \in H^\infty$ for any $x \in X_H = \beta H / H$, $f \in H^\infty$.*
For any open set $U \subset E(X, \beta H)$ the set $I(U) \subset M_a$ is open. For any $x \in X_H$ the set $(I \circ i_x)(\mathbb{D})$ coincides with a Gleason part.

We now state a curious corollary of the above theorem.

Let G be a free group with finite or countable number of generators. For each $\xi \in \beta G$ denote $P(\xi) := \{\xi g,\ g \in G\}$.

Corollary 2.4 *Assume that $\overline{P(\xi_1)} \cap \overline{P(\xi_2)} \neq \emptyset$ for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \beta G$. Then one of these sets contains the other.*

Remark 2.5 Our proof of Corollary 2.4 is analytic. It would be interesting to prove this result by combinatorial methods and to identify a class of discrete groups satisfying the above property.

Let now $Y \subset Z$ be a complex Riemann surface compactly embedded into a Riemann surface Z. Assume that $H := \pi_1(Y) \cong \pi_1(Z)$ and the boundary of Y is a union of continuous curves. We introduce an analog of the disk algebra. Let $r_Z : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow Z$ and $r_Y : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow Y$ be universal coverings over Z and over Y. According to the Covering Homotopy Theorem and our assumption, there is an analytic embedding $a : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ equivariant with respect to actions of $\pi_1(Y)$ and $\pi_1(Z)$, i.e., $a(gz) = g(a(z))$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $g \in \pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(Z)$. For each open $U \subset Z$ satisfying $\overline{Y} \subset U$ consider the algebra H_U of bounded analytic functions on $r_Z^{-1}(U)$. Then we define $A_Y \subset H^\infty$ as closure in $C(\mathbb{D})$ of the algebra generated by all $a^*(H_U)$.

Theorem 2.6 *The maximal ideal space $M(A_Y)$ of A_Y is isomorphic to $E(\overline{Y}, \beta H)$. Furthermore, dim $M(A_Y) = 2$ and the problem of the complementation of matrices formulated for algebra A_Y always admits a positive solution.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in a more general setting.

Let b be a $(k \times n)$-matrix with entries in a commutative Banach algebra B of complex-valued functions defined on the maximal ideal space $M(B)$. Assume that condition (1.1) holds at each point of $M(B)$ for the family of minors of b of order k, that is, these minors do not belong together to any maximal ideal. Assume also that *dim* $M(B) \leq 2$.

Proposition 3.1 *There is an invertible $(n \times n)$-matrix with entries in B which completes b.*

According to Theorem 3 of [L], it suffices to complete b in the class of continuous matrix-functions on $M(B)$. Thus we have to find an $(n \times n)$-matrix $\widetilde{b} = (\widetilde{b}_{ij})$ with entries $\widetilde{b}_{ij}$ in $C(M(B))$ so that *det* $\widetilde{b} = 1$ and $\widetilde{b}_{ij} = b_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. The matrix b determines a trivial subbundle ξ of complex rank k in the trivial vector bundle $\theta^n = M(B) \times \mathbb{C}^n$. Let η be an additional to ξ subbundle of θ^n. Then clearly $\xi \oplus \eta$ is topologically trivial. We will prove that η is also topologically trivial. Then a trivialization $s_1, s_2, ..., s_{n-k}$ of η determines the required complement of b.

We begin with the following

Lemma 3.2 *Let X be a Hausdorff compact of dimension ≤ 2 and $p : E \longrightarrow X$ be a locally trivial continuous vector bundle over X of complex rank m. If $m \geq 2$ then there is a continuous nowhere vanishing section of E.*

Proof. According to the general theory of vector bundles, see e.g., [Hu] Ch. 3, Th. 5.5, there is a continuous map f of X into a Stiefel manifold $V(m,k)$ of m-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^k$ such that for the canonical vector bundle γ_m over $V(m,k)$ one has $f^*\gamma_m = E$. Recall that γ_m is defined as follows: the fibre over an $x \in V(m,k)$ is the m-dimensional complex space representing x. We think of $V(m,k)$ as a compact submanifold of some $\mathbb{R}^s$. Then f is defined by a finite family of continuous on X functions, $f = (f_1, ..., f_s)$. For $X' = f(X)$ let U' be a compact polyhedron containing X' such that γ_m has a continuous extension to a vector bundle over U'. Let us consider the inverse limiting system determined by all possible finite collections of continuous on X functions such that the last s coordinates of any such collection are coordinates of the mapping f (in the same order). For any such family $f_\alpha := (f_1, ..., f_{\alpha-s}, f)$ denote $X_\alpha = f_\alpha(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^\alpha$. Further, let $p^\beta_\alpha : X_\beta \longrightarrow X_\alpha$, $\beta \geq \alpha$, be the mapping induced by the natural projection $\mathbb{R}^\beta \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\alpha$ onto the first α coordinates. Let U_α be a compact polyhedron containing X_α defined in a small open neighbourhood of X_α so that the inverse limit of the system $(U_\alpha, p^\beta_\alpha)$ coincides with X and the mapping $p^\alpha_0 : \mathbb{R}^\alpha \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ maps U_α to U'. Consider the bundle $E_\alpha := (p^\alpha_0)^*(\gamma_m)$ over U_α. Then clearly, $(p^\beta_\alpha)^*(E_\alpha) = E_\beta$, $\beta \geq \alpha$, and the pullback of each E_α to X coincides with E. Now the Euler class e_α of each E_α is an element of the Čech cohomology group $H^{2m}(U_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$. This class equals 0 if and only if E_α has a nowhere vanishing section. By the fundamental property of characteristic classes, see e.g. [Hi], $(p_\alpha^\beta)^*(e_\alpha) = e_\beta$, $\beta \geq \alpha$. Further, it is well known, see e.g. [Br], Ch. II, Corol. 14.6, that

$$\varinjlim (p_\alpha^\beta)^* H^k(U_\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) = H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}), \quad k \geq 0.$$

Since *dim* $X \leq 2$, Theorem 37-7 and Corollary 36-15 of [N] imply $H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $k > 2$. But real rank of E is $2m \geq 4$ therefore the Euler class $e = \varinjlim (p_\alpha^\beta)^*(e_\alpha)$ of E equals 0. From here and the above formula it follows that there is some β such that $e_\beta = 0$. In particular, E_β has a continuous nowhere vanishing section s. Then its pullback to X determines the required section of E.

The lemma is proved. $\square$

From the lemma it follows immediately that E is isomorphic to the direct sum $E_{m-1} \oplus E'$, where $E_{m-1} = X \times \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$ and E' is a vector bundle over X of complex rank 1. In particular, if the first Chern class $c_1(E) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of E equals 0, then $E' = X \times \mathbb{C}$ and $E = X \times \mathbb{C}^m$. In our case *dim* $M(B) \leq 2$, $\theta^n = \xi \oplus \eta$ and ξ is topologically trivial. Then for the first Chern class of θ^n we have

$$0 = c_1(\theta_n) = c_1(\xi) + c_1(\eta) = c_1(\eta).$$

Therefore the above lemma implies that η is a topologically trivial bundle.

The proposition is proved. $\square$

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $M(A)$ be the maximal ideal space of A. Since $\nu(H^\infty)$ is dense in A, the transpose map

$$\nu^* : M(A) \longrightarrow M(H^\infty) \ \ (\nu^*(\phi) = \phi \circ \nu)$$

establishes a homeomorphism from $M(A)$ onto some closed set $E \subset M(H^\infty)$. According to the result of Su'arez, *dim* $M(H^\infty) = 2$ which implies *dim* $M(A) =$ *dim* $E \leq 2$. Further, matrix a can be thought of as a matrix-function defined on $M(A)$ whose minors of order k satisfy inequality (1.1) at each point of $M(A)$. Thus the required statement follows from Proposition 3.1 .

The theorem is proved. $\square$

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1.

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Euclidean ball and X be a discrete countable set. For points $v, w \in B \times X$, $v = (v_1, v_2)$, $w = (w_1, w_2)$ we define the semi-metric $r(v, w) := |v_1 - w_1|$, where $|. - .|$ is the Euclidean distance on B. Let f be a bounded function on $B \times X$ uniformly continuous with respect to r.

Lemma 4.1 *There is a continuous function* $\widehat{f}$ *on* $B \times \beta X$ *such that* $\widehat{f}|_{B \times X} = f$ *and* $\sup_{B \times \beta X} |\widehat{f}| = \sup_{B \times X} |f|$.

Proof. For any $v \in B$ function $f_v(x) := f(v, x)$ belongs to $l^\infty(X)$. By definition, there is a continuous function $\widehat{f}_v$ on βX which extends f_v. We set $\widehat{f}(v, \xi) := \widehat{f}_v(\xi)$ and prove that $\widehat{f}$ is continuous. In fact, let us take a point $w = (v, \xi) \in B \times \beta X$ and a number $\epsilon > 0$. By definition of f, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any pair of points $v_1 = (v, x)$ and $v_2 = (v', x)$ from $B \times X$ with $|v - v'| < \delta$ one has $|f(v_1, x) - f(v_2, x)| < \epsilon/3$. Define an open neighbourhood U_v of $v \in B$ by $U_v := \{v' \in B \ : |v - v'| < \delta\}$. Further, by definition of $\widehat{f}_v$, there is an open neighbourhood $U_\xi \subset \beta X$ of ξ such that for any $\eta \in U_\xi$ we have $|\widehat{f}_v(\eta) - \widehat{f}_v(\xi)| < \epsilon/3$. Consider $U_w := U_v \times U_\xi$. Then U_w is an open neighbourhood of $w \in B \times \beta X$. Note that $f_v - f_{v'}$ is a function from $l^\infty(X)$ and for any $v' \in U_v$ its supremum norm $< \epsilon/2$. This implies that $|\widehat{f}_v(\eta) - \widehat{f}_{v'}(\eta)| < \epsilon/2$ for each $\eta \in \beta X$. In particular, for any $(x, \eta) \in U_w$ we have

$$|\widehat{f}(x, \eta) - \widehat{f}(v, \xi)| \le |\widehat{f}_x(\eta) - \widehat{f}_v(\eta)| + |\widehat{f}_v(\eta) - \widehat{f}_v(\xi)| < \epsilon \ .$$

This inequality shows that $\widehat{f}$ is continuous at $w \in B \times \beta X$. □

Assume now that $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a complex Euclidean ball and f is a bounded holomorphic function on $B \times X$. Then $\{f(\cdot, x)\}_{x \in X}$ is a uniformly bounded family of holomorphic on B functions and therefore normality implies that f satisfies conditions of Lemma 4.1 on every $K \times X$, where $K \subset B$ is a compact, i.e., f is uniformly continuous with respect to semi-metric r. Let $\widehat{f} \in C(B \times \beta X)$ be extension of f determined in Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2 *For any $\xi \in \beta X$ function $\widehat{f}|_{B \times \xi}$ is holomorphic.*

Proof. Let $O \subset B$ be an open subset with $\overline{O} \subset B$. It suffices to prove that $\widehat{f}|_{O \times \xi}$ is holomorhic for any O. Since $\widehat{f}$ is uniformly continuous on the compact $\overline{O} \times \beta X$, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $x_\epsilon \in X$ such that $\sup_{z \in \overline{O}} |\widehat{f}(z, \xi) - f(z, x_\epsilon)| < \epsilon$. In particular, $\widehat{f}(\cdot, \xi)|_O$ is limit in $C(O)$ of the sequence $\{f(\cdot, x_{1/k})\}_{k \ge 1}$ of bounded holomorphic on O functions. Thus $\widehat{f}|_{O \times \xi}$ is also holomorphic.

The lemma is proved. □

Remark 4.3 Similar arguments show that if f is a bounded on $B \times X$ function, Lipschitz with respect to r then $\widehat{f}|_{B \times \xi}$ is Lipschitz for any $\xi \in \beta X$. However, a similar statement for a uniformly bounded on B family of real analytic or smooth functions is false.

Proof of Theorem 2.1.

Let $p : M_G \longrightarrow M$ be a regular covering over a compact manifold M and $f \in C_d(M_G)$. Recall that, by constructions of $E(M, \beta G)$ and M_G (see Section 2), for $\widetilde{V} = \sqcup_{i \in I} U_i \times \beta G$ there is a continuous surjective map $\widetilde{s} : \widetilde{V} \longrightarrow E(M, \beta G)$ such that for $V = \sqcup_{i \in I} U_i \times G \subset \widetilde{V}$ restriction $s := \widetilde{s}|_V$ is a continuous map onto M_G. Further, recall that U_i is homeomorphic to a Euclidean ball B and this homeomorphism determines a natural homeomorphism of $U_i \times G$ and $B \times G$. Denote by r_i a semi-metric on $U_i \times G$ which is the pullback of r by the above homeomorphism. Replacing, if necessary, in our construction the covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ by its finite subcovering and taking into account that metric d is invariant with respect to the action of G on M_G and compatible with topology of M_G, we may assume without loss of generality that $(f\circ s)|_{U_i\times G}$ is bounded uniformly continuous with respect to r_i. Now according to Lemma 4.1, for every $f_i := (f\circ s)|_{U_i\times G}$ there is its extension $\widehat{f}_i \in C(U_i \times \beta G)$. Further, the equivalence relation on V implies

$$f_i(z,g) = f_j(z, gg_{ij}) \qquad (z \in U_i \cap U_j,\ g \in G).$$

Then by the definition of $\widehat{f}_i$, $i \in I$, for a fixed $z \in U_i \cap U_j$

$$\widehat{f}_i(z,\xi) = \widehat{f}_j(z, \xi g_{ij}) \qquad (\xi \in \beta G).$$

This shows that there is a continuous function $\widehat{f}$ on $E(M,\beta G)$ so that $(\widehat{f}\circ\widetilde{s})|_{U_i\times\beta G} = \widehat{f}_i$ for any $i \in I$. Moreover, $\widehat{f}|_{M_G} = f$. Since M_G is an open everywhere dense subset of $E(M,\beta G)$, we also have

$$\sup_{E(M,\beta G)} |\widehat{f}| = \sup_{M_G} |f| \ .$$

Thus we obtain a continuous extension of $C_d(M_G)$. It remains to prove that the extended algebra coincides with $C(E(M,\beta G))$.

Note, first, that since the construction of $E(M,\beta G)$ does not depend on the choice of covering $\mathcal{U}$ of M, we can consider that all $U_i \subset M$ are compacts. Consequently, $E(M,\beta G)$ is a compact as continuous image of the compact $\widetilde{V}$. By definition, the base of topology on $E(M,\beta G)$ consists of the sets $\widetilde{s}(V\times H)$, where V is an open subset of some U_i and H is a clopen (closed and open) subset of βG, i.e., closure in βG of a subset of G.

Let h be a continuous function on $E(M,\beta G)$. Then it is bounded and uniformly continuous. We have to prove that $h|_{M_G} \in C_d(M_G)$, i.e., h is bounded uniformly continuous with respect to the metric d. Let us fix $\epsilon > 0$. We will point out a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for all x, y satisfying $d(x,y) < \delta$ one has $|h(x) - h(y)| < \epsilon$. From uniform continuity of h and compactness of $E(M,\beta G)$ it follows that for each $x \in M$ there is a finite open covering of the fibre $\widetilde{p}^{-1}(x) \subset E(M,\beta G)$ by sets $Y_{i,x}$ such that
(a) $|h(v) - h(w)| < \epsilon \qquad (v, w \in Y_{i,x})$;
(b) $Y_{i,x} = \widetilde{s}(W_x \times \overline{H_i})$, where $W_x \subset M$ is an open neighbourhood of x homeomorphic to a ball and $H_i \subset G$.
In particular, $\cup_i Y_{i,x} = \widetilde{p}^{-1}(W_x)$ and $Y_x = (\cup_i Y_{i,x}) \cap M_G$ coincides with $p^{-1}(W_x)$ and if $Z \subset Y_x$ is connected and $v, w \in Z$ then $|h(v) - h(w)| < \epsilon$. Let $\{Y_{x_j}\}_{j\in J}$ be a finite covering of $E(M,\beta G)$ and $\{W_{x_j}\}_{j\in J}$ be the corresponding covering of M. For any connected component Z of Y_{x_j} denote by a_j its diameter with respect to d and set $a := \max_{j\in J} a_j$. Clearly $0 < a < \infty$. Since G acts discretely on M_G, d is invariant with respect to this action and compatible with topology on M_G, and the quotient $M = M_G/G$ is a compact, we obtain

$$\inf_{g\in G, g\neq e} d(x, gx) = b > 0.$$

Doing, if necessary, a refinement of the covering $\{Y_{x_j}\}$ we can assume that $b - a > 0$. Further, $d^*(p(x), p(y)) := \inf_{g\in G} d(x, gy)$ is a metric on the compact M compatible with its topology. Then there is a positive number $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) < b - a$ such that every ball $B_\delta \subset M$ of radius δ with respect to d^* belongs to one of W_{x_j}. We state that

for any $x, y \in M_G$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$ the inequality $|h(x) - h(y)| < \epsilon$ holds.

In fact, according to our construction $d^*(p(x), p(y)) < \delta$ and so there is W_{x_j} containing $p(x)$ and $p(y)$. Let Z be a connected component of $p^{-1}(W_{x_j})$ containing x. Then it also contains y. For otherwise, let $gy \in Z$ with $g \neq e$. Then

$$\delta > d(x, y) \geq d(y, gy) - d(gy, x) \geq b - a \ .$$

This contradicts to the choice of δ and proves that $g = e$ and so $|h(x) - h(y)| < \epsilon$ by the choice of $\{Y_{x_j}\}_{j \in J}$.

The above arguments show that $h|_{M_G}$ is a bounded uniformly continuous with respect to d function.

Thus we proved that algebra $C_d(M_G)$ admits a continuous extension (preserving the norm) to the compact $E(M, \beta G)$ and the extended algebra coincides with $C(E(M, \beta G))$. Therefore the maximal ideal space of $C_d(M_G)$ is $E(M, \beta G)$.

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

5. Properties of the Space $E(M, \beta G)$.

5.1. All results of this section, except for compactness of $E(M, \beta G)$, are valid for a non-compact M. We recall

Definition 5.1 *For a normal space X, dim $X \leq n$ if every finite open covering of X can be refined by an open covering whose order $\leq n + 1$. If dim $X \leq n$ and the statement dim $X \leq n - 1$ is false, we say dim $X = n$. For the empty set, dim $\emptyset = -1$.*

Proof of Proposition 2.2. **(a)** We already proved that $E(M, \beta G)$ is a compact Hausdorff space. By definition, it admits a finite covering by subsets homeomorphic to $B \times \beta G$, where B is a closed Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $n = dim\ M$. We will show that $dim\ B \times \beta G = n$. Then $E(M, \beta G)$ is a finite union of compact sets of dimension n and therefore $dim\ E(M, \beta G) = n$, see, e.g., [N], Th. 9-10.

Since $B \times \{e\} \subset B \times \beta G$, we obviously have $dim\ B \times \beta G \geq dim\ B = n$. Further, Theorem 26-4 of [N] implies

$$dim\ B \times \beta G \leq dim\ B + dim\ \beta G.$$

Finally by the theorem of Vendenisov, see, e.g., [N], Th. 9-5, $dim\ \beta G = dim\ G = 0$. Combining the above inequalities we obtain $dim\ B \times \beta G = n$. This proves part (a).
(b) We begin with the following

Lemma 5.2 *For any $\xi \in \beta G$ and $g \in G$, $g \neq e$, one has $\xi g \neq \xi$ and $g\xi \neq \xi$.*

Proof. We will prove this result for the right action of G on βG. For the left action the proof is similar. Assume, to the contrary, that $\xi h = \xi$ for some $h \in G,\ h \neq e$, $\xi \in \beta G$. Set $H := \{h^n,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset G$. Consider right co-sets $\{G_\alpha := g_\alpha H\}_{\alpha \in A}$ by the action of H. Each G_α is disjoint union of $G_{\alpha,0}$ and $G_{\alpha,1}$, where $G_{\alpha,0} := \{g_\alpha h^n,\ n \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ and $G_{\alpha,1} := \{g_\alpha h^n,\ n \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1\}$. Let $G_0 = \cup_\alpha G_{\alpha,0}$ and $G_1 = \cup_\alpha G_{\alpha,1}$. Then $G_0 \cap G_1 = \emptyset$ and $G_0 \cup G_1 = G$. Moreover, $G_0 h = G_1$ and $G_1 h = G_0$. Obviously, βG is disjoint union of closures $\overline{G_0}$ and $\overline{G_1}$. Assume, e.g., that $\xi \in \overline{G_0}$. Then $\xi h \in \overline{G_1}$ and we obtain a contradiction.

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Let now $x \in X_G := \beta G/G$ and $\xi \in \beta G$ be a point representing x. Further, let $\widetilde{V} := \sqcup_i U_i \times \beta G$ be the same as in the construction of $E(M, \beta G)$ (see Section 2). Consider set $\widetilde{V}_x := \sqcup_i U_i \times \xi G \subset \widetilde{V}$. For $z \in U_i \cap U_j$ we identify points $z \times \xi g \in U_i \times \xi G$ and $z \times \xi g g_{ij} \in U_j \times \xi G$. Here $g = \{g_{ij}\} \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, G)$ is a cocycle determining $E(M, \beta G)$. Now according to Lemma 5.2 there is a natural continuous one-to-one map of $V := \sqcup_i U_i \times G$ into $\widetilde{V}$ sending $z \times g \in U_i \times G$ to $z \times \xi g \in U_i \times \xi G$. This map commutes with the corresponding equivalence relations determining M_G and $E(M, \beta G)$, respectively. Therefore it determines a continuous one-to-one map $i_x : M_G \longrightarrow E(M, \beta G)$. Clearly $i_x(M_G) \cap i_y(M_G) = \emptyset$ for $x \neq y$ and $E(M, \beta G) = \sqcup_{x \in X_G} i_x(M_G)$. It is also worth noting that locally i_x is an embedding. This proves part (b).

(c) Let $f : V \longrightarrow E(M, \beta G)$ be a continuous mapping of a connected and locally connected space V. We prove that there is $x \in X_G$ such that $f(V) \subset i_x(M_G)$. For every $v \in V$ consider $f(v) \in E(M, \beta G)$. Let $U_{f(v)}$ be an open neighbourhood of $f(v)$ homeomorphic to $O \times H$, where $O \subset M$ and $H \subset \beta G$ are open and $U_{f(v)} \subset \widetilde{p}^{-1}(O)$ (see description of topology on $E(M, \beta G)$). Then there is a connected open neighbourhood $U_v \subset V$ of v such that $f(U_v) \subset U_{f(v)}$. Let π_O be the projection from $\widetilde{p}^{-1}(O)$ onto a fibre of $E(M, \beta G)$ inside (homeomorphic to βG). Then $\pi_O \circ f$ maps U_v onto a subset of βG. Since the above mapping is continuous, U_v is connected and βG is totally disconnected ($dim\ \beta G = 0$), we have $(\pi_O \circ f)|_{U_v}$ is a constant map. In particular, there is $x \in X_G$ such that $f(U_v) \subset i_x(M_G)$. Further, since V is a connected space, there is $U_{v'}$ with similar properties such that $U_{v'} \cap U_v \neq \emptyset$. Let $x' \in X_G$ be such that $f(U_{v'}) \subset i_{x'}(M_G)$. Then the above condition implies $i_{x'}(M_G) \cap i_x(M_G) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore according to Proposition 2.2 (b), $x' = x$. Continuing by induction we finally obtain $f(V) \subset i_x(M_G)$. The proof of part (c) is complete.

(d) Let $x, y \in E(M, \beta G)$ be different points and $\widetilde{p}(x), \widetilde{p}(y)$ their images in M. Let $\gamma_{x,y}$ be a path connecting $\widetilde{p}(x)$ with $\widetilde{p}(y)$ and $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of closed paths with origin at y which generates fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$. Consider compact space $E_{x,y} := \widetilde{p}^{-1}(\gamma_{x,y} \cup (\cup_{i \in I} \gamma_i)) \subset E(M, \beta G)$. Arguments similar to those used in (a) applied to $E_{x,y}$ show that $dim\ E_{x,y} = 1$. Further, by the Covering Homotopy Theorem $E_{x,y} \cap M_G$ is an open arcwise connected everywhere dense subset of $E_{x,y}$. This implies that $E_{x,y}$ is connected. Let $l_{x,y}$ be a compact connected subset of $E_{x,y}$ containing x and y. Then it is one-dimensional. For otherwise, $dim\ l_{x,y} = 0$ and therefore $l_{x,y}$ is totally disconnected that contradicts to its choice.

Assume, in addition, that $l_{x,y}$ is locally connected. Then according to part (c), there is $z \in X_G$ such that $l_{x,y} \subset i_z(M_G)$. In particular, $x, y \in i_z(M_G)$. The converse to this statement is obvious: if $x, y \in i_z(M_G)$ for some $z \in X_G$ then there is a compact path $\gamma \subset M_G$ such that $i_z(\gamma)$ contains x and y. (Note also that $i_z|_\gamma : \gamma \longrightarrow i_z(\gamma)$ is a homeomorphism). This proves part (d).

The proposition is proved. $\square$

Remark 5.3 There is a natural continuous surjective map e of $E(M,\beta G)\setminus M_G$ onto space $E(M_G)$ of ends of M_G (it is a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space). If $x,y\in e^{-1}(a)$ for some $a\in E(M_G)$ then one can also choose $l_{x,y}$ inside $e^{-1}(a)$.

5.2. In this section we study maps $i_x : M_G \longrightarrow E(M,\beta G)$, $x\in X_G$, which are embeddings (i.e., homeomorphisms from M_G onto $i_x(M_G)$) and are not embeddings.

Let $Y=p^{-1}(y)$ be fibre of the bundle $p: M_G\longrightarrow M$ over a point $y\in M$. Consider set $Z := i_x(Y)\subset E(M,\beta G)$ and denote $Cl(Z)$ the set of limit points of Z.

Proposition 5.4 *i_x is an embedding if and only if $Cl(Z)\cap Z=\emptyset$.*

Proof. Assume that i_x is an embedding. Since $Y\subset M_G$ is discrete, its image Z is discrete in $E(M,\beta G)$. In particular, $Cl(Z)$ does not contain points of Z.
Conversely, assume, to the contrary, that $Cl(Z)\cap Z=\emptyset$ but there is a discrete sequence $\{x_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ of points of M_G so that the limit set $Cl(X)$ of $X := i_x(\{x_k\}_{k\geq 1})$ has non-empty intersection with $i_x(M_G)$.

Lemma 5.5 *There is a continuous map $\widehat{i_x} : E(M,\beta G)\longrightarrow E(M,\beta G)$ which extends i_x, i.e., $\widehat{i_x}|_{M_G}=i_x$.*

Proof. For every continuous on $E(M,\beta G)$ function f consider the function $f' := f\circ i_x$ on M_G. Then it follows easily from uniform continuity of f that $f'\in C_d(M_G)$, i.e., it is bounded and uniform continuous with respect to the metric d. In particular, f' admits a continuous extension $\widehat{f'}$ to $E(M,\beta G)$. Clearly, the correspondence $f\mapsto \widehat{f'}$ is a continuous morphism of Banach algebra $C(E(M,\beta G))$ to itself. In turn, this morphism determines the continuous transpose map $\widehat{i_x} : E(M,\beta G)\longrightarrow E(M,\beta G)$ which extends i_x.

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Let $X'\subset E(M,\beta G)$ be closure of $\{x_k\}_{k\geq 1}$. Obviously, $\widehat{i_x}(X')=\overline{X}$. By our assumption, there is a limit point $s\in X'$ of $\{x_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ such that $\widehat{i_x}(s)=i_x(z)$ for some $z\in M_G$. Assume also that s belongs to $i_t(M_G)\subset E(M,\beta G)$, for some $t\in X_G$. Further, by definition of $E(M,\beta G)$ closure of Y in $E(M,\beta G)$ has non-empty intersection with $i_t(M_G)$. Let $v\in \overline{Y}\cap i_t(M_G)$. Then according to Proposition 2.2 (c), the set $(\widehat{i_x}\circ i_t)(M_G)$ belongs to some $i_l(M_G)$. But this set contains also the point $i_x(z)$ that implies $l=x$. In particular, $\widehat{i_x}(v)\in i_x(M_G)$. Since, by definition, $\widehat{i_x}(v)\in Cl(Z)$, we have that $Cl(Z)\cap i_x(M_G)\neq\emptyset$. But closure $\overline{Z}$ lies in the fibre $\tilde{p}^{-1}(y)$ over $y\in M$. Thus $Cl(Z)\cap Z\neq\emptyset$ that contradicts to our assumption. Therefore for any discrete sequence of points of M_G its image under i_x is discrete in $E(M,\beta G)$. This proves that i_x is an embedding.

The proposition is proved. $\square$

We will show now how to construct maps $i_x : M_G\longrightarrow E(M,\beta G)$ which are embeddings.

Let us fix a system $\{G_i\}$ of finite subsets of the group G so that $G_i^{-1} = G_i$ and $G_i \subset G_{i+1}$ and $\cup G_i = G \setminus \{e\}$. Construct by induction the following sequence $\{g_i\}$ of elements of G:

g_1 is an arbitrary element and then we take g_n as an element from complement to

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} g_1 G_i\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} g_2 G_i\right) \bigcup \dots \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} g_{n-1} G_i\right) .$$

Then clearly for any i, n we have $g_n \notin g_i G_i$. Let now $\xi \in \beta G$ be a limit point of $\{g_i\}$ and $x := x(\xi) \in X_G$ be the element corresponding to ξ.

Proposition 5.6 *i_x determines an embedding of M_G into $E(M, \beta G)$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 5.4 it suffices to prove that $\{\xi G\}$ is a discrete subset of βG. (Here we identify fibres of $E(M, \beta G)$ with βG.) Note also that it suffices to prove that ξ itself does not belong to the limit set of $\{\xi G\}$. Assume, to the contrary, that there is a discrete net $\{h_\alpha\} \subset G$ such that $\lim_\alpha \xi h_\alpha = \xi$. Let us construct function f on G by

$$f(g_i) = 1 \quad i = 1, 2, ... \quad \text{and} \quad f|_{G \setminus \{g_i\}} \equiv 0.$$

Let $\widehat{f}$ be the continuous function on βG which extends f. Then, by definition, $\widehat{f}(\xi) = 1$. Let us prove that $\widehat{f}(\xi g) = 0$ for any $g \neq e$. In fact, consider the sequence $\{g_i g\}_{i \geq 1}$. Then clearly its closure contains ξg. By definition, there is a number i_0 such that $g \in G_j$ for any $j \geq i_0$. But the construction of $\{g_i\}$ implies that $\{g_j g\}_{j \geq i_0}$ does not intersect $\{g_i\}$. In particular, $f(g_j g) = 0$ for any $j \geq i_0$. Therefore we obtain $\widehat{f}(\xi g) = 0$ for any $g \in G, g \neq e$. This gives the contradiction to the condition $\lim_\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi h_\alpha) = \widehat{f}(\xi)$.

The proposition is proved. □

We present now non-homeomorphic maps $i_x : M_G \longrightarrow E(M, \beta G)$. We use the following

Lemma 5.7 *There is a multiplication $\cdot : \beta G \times \beta G \longrightarrow \beta G$ converting βG into a semigroup. Moreover, for $g \in G$ the mapping $\xi \mapsto \xi \cdot g$, $\xi \in \beta G$ coincides with the right action of G on βG and for any $\xi \in \beta G$ the mapping $\eta \mapsto \eta \cdot \xi$, $\eta \in \beta G$, is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\xi \in \beta G$. Consider the set $o(\xi) := \{\xi g\}_{g \in G}$. The restriction of a function from $C(\beta G)$ to $o(\xi)$ determines a continuous homomorphism of $C(\beta G)$ into $l^\infty(G)$. Denote by $f_\xi : \beta G \longrightarrow \beta G$ the continuous transpose map of maximal ideal spaces corresponding to this homomorphism. Further, let us consider the space T of all mappings $\beta G \longrightarrow \beta G$ with the product topology. Then T is a compact Hausdorff space. Let K be closure in T of the group G acting by right transformations on βG. By definition, K is a semigroup (in discrete topology) and the mapping $\eta \mapsto \eta \circ \xi$, $\eta \in K$, is continuous for any fixed $\xi \in \beta G$. Assume now that a net $\{g_j\}_{j \in J}$ of elements from G converges to $k \in K$. Then, for any $\xi \in \beta G$ the element $k(\xi) \in \beta G$ is defined as

$$k(\xi) = \lim_j \xi \cdot g_j.$$

In particular, this formula applied to $\xi = e$ states that there is limit $\eta = \lim_j g_j \in \beta G$. But then we clearly have $k(\xi) = f_\xi(\eta)$. Define now map $i : K \longrightarrow \beta G$ by $i(k) = k(e)$. It is obviously bijective and continuous in the topology on K induced from T. Therefore this map is homeomorphic. Then for $\eta, \xi \in \beta G$ we determine

$$\eta \cdot \xi := i(i^{-1}(\eta) \circ i^{-1}(\xi)).$$

The required properties of the multiplication follow easily from this definition.

The lemma is proved. $\Box$

Let now $\xi \in \beta G \setminus G$ be an idempotent with respect to the above multiplication, i.e., $\xi \cdot \xi = \xi$ (its existence for compact semigroups is proved, e.g., in [F], p.159, Lemma 8.4). Then $i_\xi : M_G \longrightarrow E(M, \beta G)$ is not embedding. In fact, the limit set of $\{\xi g\}_{g \in G}$ contains ξ. Thus the required statement follows from Proposition 5.4.

6. Approximation Theorems.

In this section we prove a theorem on approximation of continuous functions on $E(M, \beta G)$. Assume that the manifold M has one of the structures: Lipschitz, C^∞, real analytic or holomorphic. Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ one of the above categories.

Definition 6.1 *A complex-valued function $f \in C(E(M, \beta G))$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$ if the function $f \circ i_x$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$ on M_G for each $x \in X_G$.*

Similarly to this definition one can define sheaves of Lipschitz, C^∞, real analytic or holomorphic functions on $E(M, \beta G)$.

Assume now that M is a compact real analytic manifold and $C_{\mathbb{R}}(E(M, \beta G))$ is the Banach space of real-valued continuous functions on $E(M, \beta G)$.

Theorem 6.2 *The space of real analytic on $E(M, \beta G)$ functions is dense in $C_{\mathbb{R}}(E(M, \beta G))$.*

Proof. According to Grauert's theorem, see [Gr], sect.3, M admits a real analytic embedding into some $\mathbb{R}^n$; so without loss of generality, assume that $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Further, since any compact of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is polynomially convex in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with respect to holomorphic polynomials (a consequence of the Weierstrass approximation theorem) and M is smooth, there is an open connected neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of M such that:
(a) M is a deformation retract of U;
(b) U is a Stein manifold;
(c) U is invariant with respect to the involution s on $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined by complex conjugation of coordinates.
According to (a), we have an isomorphism $\pi_1(U) \cong \pi_1(M)$. Then by the Covering Homotopy Theorem there is an analytic embedding $i_G : M_G \longrightarrow U_G$, where U_G is a regular covering over U with the covering group G. (Denote the covering projection of U_G onto U by p (as for M_G).) Moreover, U_G is also a Stein manifold. Clearly i_G determines an embedding $\widetilde{i}_G : E(M, \beta G) \longrightarrow E(U, \beta G)$ such that for every holomorphic on $E(U, \beta G)$ function f the function $f \circ \widetilde{i}_G$ is complex-valued analytic on

$E(M,\beta G)$. (We will say that $\widetilde{i}_G$ is an analytic embedding.) Without loss of generality we may now assume that $E(M,\beta G)\subset E(U,\beta G)$.
We prove that
(1) *for any different points $x_1,x_2\in E(M,\beta G)$ there is a holomorphic function on $E(U,\beta G)$ separating them.*
(2) *restrictions of holomorphic on $E(U,\beta G)$ functions to $E(M,\beta G)$ generate a self-adjoint with respect to complex conjugation algebra A.*
Then according to the classical Stone-Weierstrass theorem A is dense in complexification of $C_{\mathbb{R}}(E(M,\beta G))$. To complete the proof of the theorem it will be sufficient to take real parts of elements of A,

Proof of (1). Let $\widetilde{p}(x_1),\widetilde{p}(x_2)\in M$ be projections of x_1 and x_2. Assume that $\widetilde{p}(x_1)\neq\widetilde{p}(x_2)$. (if these points coincide the proof is similar). Let $E_{x_1},E_{x_2}\subset E(M,\beta G)$ be fibers over $\widetilde{p}(x_1),\widetilde{p}(x_2)$. We prove that for any complex continuous functions f_1,f_2 determined on E_{x_1} and E_{x_2}, respectively, there is a holomorphic function f on $E(U,\beta G)$ such that $f|_{E_{x_i}}=f_i$, $i=1,2$. This will imply (1).

The natural right action of G on itself determines a natural isometric action on $l^\infty(G)$. Let $g=\{g_{ij}\}\in Z^1(\mathcal{U},G)$ be a cocycle determining the bundle $p:M_G\longrightarrow M$ (see Section 2). Then we can also think of g as a family of linear endomorphisms of $l^\infty(G)$. Using this cocycle $g=\{g_{ij}\}\in Z^1(\mathcal{U},GL(l^\infty(G)))$ we construct a Banach holomorphic vector bundle F over M with fibre $l^\infty(G)$ by a construction analogous to the construction of M_G (see Section 2.1 and definitions in [B], sect.4). Namely, F is the quotient space of disjoint union $\sqcup_{i\in I}U_i\times l^\infty(G)$ by the equivalence relation: $U_i\times l^\infty(G)\ni(x,v)\sim(x,vg_{ij})\in U_j\times l^\infty(G)$. Here we denote $v\mapsto vg$ the action of g on $l^\infty(G)$ induced by right multiplication on G. Let now $r:U\longrightarrow M$ be the retraction from property (a) for the manifold U. Consider the covering $r^{-1}(\mathcal{U})=\{r^{-1}(U_i)\}_{i\in I}$ of U, where $\mathcal{U}=\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ is the above covering of M. Then U_G can be defined by cocycle $g=\{g_{ij}\}\in Z^1(r^{-1}(\mathcal{U}),G)$ with the same elements g_{ij}. Similarly to the construction of F we construct a Banach holomorphic vector bunlde E over U with fibre $l^\infty(G)$ by the cocycle $g=\{g_{ij}\}\in Z^1(r^{-1}(\mathcal{U}),GL(l^\infty(G)))$. Obviously we have, $E|_M=F$.

Consider now a vector space S of holomorphic functions f on U_G such that $\sup_{z\in p^{-1}(O)}|f(z)|<\infty$ for any compact $O\subset U$. Then there is a natural isomorphism between S and the space of holomorphic sections of E. Indeed, if $f\in S$ then it can be written in local coordinates (z,g), $z\in r^{-1}(U_i)\subset U$, $g\in G$, $i\in I$ as $f_i=\{f(gs_i(z))\}_{g\in G}$, where $s_i:r^{-1}(U_i)\longrightarrow U_G$ is a local holomorphic section of the bundle $p:U_G\longrightarrow U$ over $r^{-1}(U_i)$. From the normality of family f_i it follows that $\{(f_i,U_i)\}_{i\in I}$ uniquely determines a holomorphic section s of E such that $s|_{U_i}=f_i$. Further, according to Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 4.2 any function from S admits an extension as a holomorphic function on $E(U,\beta G)$. Conversely, for any holomorphic on $E(U,\beta G)$ function f the function $f|_{U_G}\in S$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_E$ be a Banach coherent analytic sheaf of germs of local holomorphic sections of E and $\mathcal{J}$ be its subsheaf of germs of local holomorphic sections vanishing at $\widetilde{p}(x_1)$ and $\widetilde{p}(x_2)$. The natural embedding $\widetilde{p}(x_1)\sqcup\widetilde{p}(x_2)\subset U$ induces surjective homomorphism of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_E\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_{x_1,x_2}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{x_1,x_2}$ is the sheaf of sections of E over $\widetilde{p}(x_1)\sqcup\widetilde{p}(x_2)$. Clearly its kernel coincides with $\mathcal{J}$ and the factor sheaf $\mathcal{O}_E/\mathcal{J}$

is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{x_1,x_2}$. It is well-known that the following sequence of cohomology of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(U,\mathcal{J}) \longrightarrow H^0(U,\mathcal{O}_E) \longrightarrow H^0(U,\mathcal{O}_E/\mathcal{J}) \longrightarrow H^1(U,\mathcal{J}) \longrightarrow ...$$

is exact. Moreover, according to results of Bungart (see [B], sect.4), $H^1(U,\mathcal{J}) = 0$. In particular, there is a holomorphic section f of E whose restrictions to $\widetilde{p}(x_1)$ and $\widetilde{p}(x_2)$ coincide with $f_1|_{p^{-1}(\widetilde{p}(x_1))}$ and $f_2|_{p^{-1}(\widetilde{p}(x_2))}$, respectively. It remains to take the holomorphic function on U_G which represents f and extend it to $E(U,\beta G)$. This proves (1).

Proof of (2). Since U is invariant with respect to the involution s defined in (c) and s induces identity authomorphism of $\pi_1(U)$, the Covering Homotopy Theorem implies that there is an involution s' of U_G which covers s such that $s'|_{M_G}$ is trivial. By definition of s', for each holomorphic section f of E, $\overline{f \circ s'}$ is also holomorphic section of E and its restriction to M coincides with $\overline{f}|_M$. Identifying as in the proof of (1) holomorphic sections of E with holomorphic functions on $E(U,\beta G)$ we obtain that for each holomorphic on $E(U,\beta G)$ function f there is a holomorphic on $E(U,\beta G)$ function h such that $h|_{E(M,\beta G)} = \overline{f}|_{E(M,\beta G)}$. This proves (2) and completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$.

Remark 6.3 Similar to Theorem 6.2 statements are also valid for approximations by Lipschitz or C^∞ functions. The proof goes along the same lines and might be left to the reader.

Remark 6.4 Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6.2 one can obtain another proof of classical Bernstein's theorem on uniform approximation of bounded uniformly continuous (with respect to the Euclidean metric) functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by restrictions of entire functions of exponential type bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The essential component of the proof is that $\mathbb{R}^n$ covers a torus $\mathbb{T}^n$.

7. Analytical Part of Maximal Ideal Space of H^∞.

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Let M be a complex compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and G its fundamental group. Then G acts on $\mathbb{D}$ by Möbius transformations. Consider the compact space $E(M,G)$. We begin with description of the maximal ideal space $M(H^\infty)$ as a quotient space of $E(M,G)$.

By definition, $\mathbb{D}$ is embedded in $E(M,\beta G)$ as an open everywhere dense subset and every function $f \in H^\infty$ admits an extension to a holomorphic function on $E(M,\beta G)$ (see Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 2.1). We identify f with its extension and say that H^∞ is defined on $E(M,\beta G)$. For points $x, y \in E(M,\beta G)$ we say that x is equivalent to y if $f(x) = f(y)$ for any $f \in H^\infty$. Then the quotient space E of $E(M,\beta G)$ by this equivalence relation is a compact Hausdorff space in the factor topology defined by

$U \subset E$ is open if and only if its preimage in $E(M,\beta G)$ is open.

Denote by $F : E(M,\beta G) \longrightarrow E$ the corresponding quotient map. Then F is continuous and surjective. Further, note that H^∞ separates points of $\mathbb{D}$ and if $x \in \mathbb{D}$ and $y \in E \setminus \mathbb{D}$ then x is not equivalent to y. In fact, for coordinate function $z \in H^\infty$ we have $|z(x)| < 1$ but $|z(y)| = 1$. This shows that F embeds $\mathbb{D}$ into E as an open everywhere dense subset. Now for every $g \in H^\infty$ there is a unique continuous function g' on E such that $F^* g' = g$. Therefore without loss of generality we may assume that H^∞ is defined on E. Clearly, H^∞ separates points of E.

Proposition 7.1 *E is homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space $M(H^\infty)$.*

Proof. Since H^∞ is a subalgebra of $C(E)$ and for any $x \in E$ and $f \in H^\infty$ we have $|f(x)| \leq \sup_{\mathbb{D}} |f|$, every point of E determines a continuous homomorphism of H^∞. Therefore there is an embedding $i : E \hookrightarrow M(H^\infty)$. Then $i(E)$ is a compact subset of $M(H^\infty)$. By the corona theorem $\mathbb{D}$ is everywhere dense in $M(H^\infty)$. This implies that i is surjective. So i is a homeomorphism as a continuous bijection of Hausdorff compacts.

The proposition is proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (a) We recall the following

Definition 7.2 *A sequence $\{z_j\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is an interpolating sequence if for every bounded sequence of complex numbers $\{a_j\}$, there is an $f \in H^\infty$ so that $f(z_j) = a_j$.*

A sequence $\{g_j\} \subset G$ is said to be interpolating if $\{g_j(0)\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is interpolating. Let $G_{in} \subset \beta G$ be the set defined by

$\xi \in G_{in}$ iff there is an interpolating sequence $\{g_j\} \subset G$ such that $\xi \in \overline{\{g_j\}}$.

In Lemma 7.5 we prove that G_{in} is invariant with respect to the right action of G. Therefore similar to the construction of $E(M, \beta G)$ one can construct a locally trivial bundle $E(M, G_{in})$ over M with fibre G_{in} (by the same cocycle $g \in Z^1(\mathcal{U}, G)$ determining $E(M, \beta G)$). Moreover, since $G_{in} \subset \beta G$, this bundle has a natural embedding into $E(M, \beta G)$. We will show that $F|_{E(M,G_{in})}$ is a homeomorphism onto the analytical part $M_a \subset M(H^\infty)$. This will complete the proof of (a). In our proof we use the following facts.

Definition 7.3 *A positive measure μ on $\mathbb{D}$ is a Carleson measure if $\mu(s_h) \leq Ch$ for every sector $s_h = \{re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} \;:\; 1 - h < r < 1, |\theta - \theta_0| \leq h\}$. The least constant C is called the norm of Carleson measure μ.*

The following theorem of Carleson characterizes interpolating sequences, see [C1].

Theorem 7.4 *The following are equivalent for a sequence of points $\{z_j\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$:*

(a) $\{z_j\}$ *is an interpolating sequence;*

(b) $\displaystyle\prod_{k, k \neq j} \left| \frac{z_k - z_j}{1 - \overline{z_j} z_k} \right| \geq \delta > 0$ *for all j;*

(c) $\displaystyle\left| \frac{z_k - z_j}{1 - \overline{z_j} z_k} \right| \geq a > 0$ *for all $k \neq j$ and the measure $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_j|^2) \delta_{z_j}$ is a Carleson measure. (Here δ_{z_j} is the Dirac measure at z_j.)*

The number δ in (b) will be called the *characteristic of interpolating sequence* $\{z_j\}$.

Lemma 7.5 *For any $\xi \in G_{in}$ and $g \in G$ the element ξg belongs to G_{in}.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $\xi \in \beta G \setminus G$. Let $\{g_j\}_{j\in J} \subset G$ be a net converging to ξ such that $\{g_j(0)\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is an interpolating sequence. Consider sequence $\{g_j g\}$, $g \neq e$. By definition, $0 < \rho(g_j(0), g_j g(0)) = \rho(0, g(0)) := a < 1$. Now according to Corollary 1.6 of Ch. X and Lemma 5.3 of Ch. VII of [G], sequence $\{g_j(0)\}$ can be decomposed into a finite disjoint union of subsets N_i, i=1,...,k, such that every N_i is an interpolating sequence with the characteristic $\delta_i > \frac{2a}{1+a^2}$ and for any fixed i the sequence $\{g_l g(0)\}$ with $g_l(0) \in N_i$ is interpolating. Clearly there is a number s such that closure $\overline{\{g_l g(0)\}} \subset G_{in}$, $g_l \in N_s$, contains ξg. Then, by definition, $\xi g \in G_{in}$.

The proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

For any interpolating sequence $\{z_j\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ consider its closure $\overline{\{z_j\}} \subset E(M, \beta G)$. Let $I \subset E(M, \beta G)$ be union of all such closures.

Lemma 7.6 *Algebra $H^\infty \subset C(E(M, \beta G))$ separates points of I.*

Proof. Let $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in I$ be different points. Since E is a compact Hausdorff space there are open neighbourhoods V_i of η_i, $i = 1, 2$, such that $\overline{V_1} \cap \overline{V_2} = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $V_i \cong O_i \times H_i$, where O_i, H_i are open subsets of M and βG, respectively (see the description of topology on $E(M, \beta G)$). Let now $x_i = \{x_{ji}\}_j \subset V_i \cap \mathbb{D}$ be an interpolating sequence such that η_i is its limit point, $i = 1, 2$. We prove that $x_1 \cup x_2$ is also an interpolating sequence. In fact, according to property (c) of Theorem 7.4 it suffices to check that

$$\left| \frac{x_{k1} - x_{j2}}{1 - \overline{x_{j2}} x_{k1}} \right| \geq a > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad k, j. \tag{7.1}$$

Let $\widetilde{p} : E(M, \beta G) \longrightarrow M$ be the projection. Consider two cases.
1. $\widetilde{p}(\eta_1) \neq \widetilde{p}(\eta_2)$. In this case we can choose V_1 and V_2 such that $\overline{O_1} \cap \overline{O_2} = \emptyset$, where $O_i := \widetilde{p}(V_i)$, i=1,2. Assume, to the contrary, that (7.1) is wrong. Then the pseudohyperbolic distance $d(V_1 \cap \mathbb{D}, V_2 \cap \mathbb{D}) := \inf_{z\in V_1\cap\mathbb{D}, w\in V_2\cap\mathbb{D}} \rho(z, w)$ between $V_1 \cap \mathbb{D}$ and $V_2 \cap \mathbb{D}$ equals 0. In particular, $d(p^{-1}(O_1), p^{-1}(O_2)) = 0$, where $p = \widetilde{p}|_{\mathbb{D}}$. Since ρ is equivalent to the Euclidean distance on each compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$ and is invariant with respect to the action of the group of Möbius transformations, the above condition implies that $\overline{p^{-1}(O_1)} \cap \overline{p^{-1}(O_2)} \neq \emptyset$. It remains to note that $\overline{p^{-1}(O_i)} \subseteq p^{-1}(\overline{O_i})$. This gives a contradiction to the condition $\overline{O_1} \cap \overline{O_2} = \emptyset$.
2. $\widetilde{p}(\eta_1) = \widetilde{p}(\eta_2)$. In this case we can choose V_1, V_2 such that $O_1 = O_2$, but $H_1 \cap G$ and $H_2 \cap G$ are non intersecting subsets. Since G acts discretely, cocompactly on $\mathbb{D}$ and ρ is invariant with respect to this action, we have $\rho(gx, x) \geq a > 0$ for every $g \neq e$ and $x \in \mathbb{D}$. Further, let us consider the fiber $o = p^{-1}(\widetilde{p}(\eta_1)) \subset \mathbb{D}$. We may assume without loss of generality that O_1 is so small that the distance $d(\{y\}, o) < a/4$ for each $y \in V_i \cap \mathbb{D}$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $x \in V_1 \cap \mathbb{D}$ and $y \in V_2 \cap \mathbb{D}$ and $x_1, y_1 \in o$ be nearest to x, y points (the infimum for the distance is attained). Applying the triangle inequality for ρ we obtain

$$\rho(x, y) \geq |\rho(x, x_1) - \rho(x_1, y)| \geq \rho(x_1, y_1) - \rho(y_1, y) - \rho(x, x_1) > a/2.$$

Here $x_1 = gy_1$ for some $g \neq e$ (this follows from our assumption $(H_1 \cap G) \cap (H_2 \cap G) = \emptyset$). So we proved that the sequences x_1 and x_2 are separated in the pseudohyperbolic metric that is equivalent to (7.1).

Thus $x_1 \cup x_2$ is an interpolating sequence. Let now $f \in H^\infty$ be such that $f|_{x_1} = 0$ and $f|_{x_2} = 1$. Then clearly $f(\eta_1) = 0$ and $f(\eta_2) = 1$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

According to Hoffman's theorem, see, e.g. [G], Ch. X, Th. 2.5, every point of M_a is in the closure of an interpolating sequence. On the other hand, the above lemma shows that quotient map $F : E(M, \beta G) \longrightarrow M(H^\infty)$ is one-to-one on I and image $F(I)$ coincides with M_a.

Lemma 7.7 *$I = E(M, G_{in})$.*

Proof. Let $w \in I$ be a point from the disk $i_\eta(\mathbb{D})$, $\eta \in X_G$. We will prove that $w \in E(M, G_{in})$.
Let $\{x_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a subnet of an interpolating sequence converging to w. Consider $\{p(x_j)\} \subset M$. Since $\widetilde{p} : E(M, \beta G) \longrightarrow M$ is continuous, $\lim_j p(x_j) = \widetilde{p}(w)$. Let δ be the characteristic of $\{x_j\}_{j \in J}$ defined by Theorem 7.4 (b). Then we can find an open neighbourhood $U \subset M$ of $\widetilde{p}(w)$ and a subnet S of $\{x_j\}_{j \in J}$ (we denote its elements by the same letters) such that:
(1) $p^{-1}(U) \subset \mathbb{D}$ is disjoint union of open sets homeomorphic to U;
(2) for each $x_j \in S$ there is $w_j \in p^{-1}(\widetilde{p}(w))$ such that $\rho(x_j, w_j) < \delta/3$.
Then by Lemma 5.3 of Ch. VII of [G], $\{w_j\}$ is also an interpolating sequence with the characteristic $\geq \delta/3$. Moreover, condition $\lim_j p(x_j) = \widetilde{p}(w)$ implies $\lim_j \rho(x_j, w_j) = 0$. In particular, for any bounded on $\mathbb{D}$ function f uniformly continuous with respect to ρ,

$$\lim_j |f(x_j) - f(w_j)| = 0.$$

But, by definition of $E(M, \beta G)$, $\lim_j f(x_j) = f(w)$. Therefore $\lim_j f(w_j) = f(w)$ and so $\lim_j w_j = w$. Further, without loss of generality we may assume that $\{w_j\}$ consists of different points. Consider the orbit $\{g(0)\}_{g \in G}$. By definition, there is a positive number $r < 1$ such that this orbit is an r-net in $\mathbb{D}$ with respect to ρ. In particular, for every w_j there is $g_j \in G$ so that $\rho(w_j, g_j(0)) \leq r$. Now according to Corollary 1.6 of Ch. X and Lemma 5.3 of Ch. VII of [G] the set $\{w_j\}$ can be represented as a finite disjoint union of subsets N_i, $i = 1, ..., k$, such that every N_i is an interpolating sequence with the characteristic $\delta_i > \frac{2r}{1+r^2}$ and any sequence $\{u_l\}$ satisfying $\rho(u_l, x_l) \leq r$ for $x_l \in N_i$ is interpolating. Let s be such that closure $\overline{N_s} \subset E(M, \beta G)$ contains w. Then there is a subnet W of the net $\{w_j\}_{j \in J}$ converging to w which consists of elements of N_s. Let $\{g_l\} \subset G$ be such that $\rho(g_l(0), w_l) \leq r$ for $w_l \in W$. Then the sequence $\{g_l(0)\}$ is interpolating. Denote by S the set of indexes of the $\{g_l\}$. Consider now the map $D : S \times \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ given by

$$D(l, z) := g_l(z).$$

Clearly, for each bounded function f on $\mathbb{D}$ uniformly continuous with respect to ρ the function D^*f generates a uniformly bounded uniformly continuous with respect to ρ family of functions on $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore according to Lemma 4.1, there is a continuous

map $\widetilde{D} : \beta S \times \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow E(M, \beta G)$ which extends D. Let z_j be such that $D(z_j) = w_j$ $(j = 1, 2, ...)$. Then z_j is in the compact $\beta S \times B_r$, where B_r is the closed ball with respect to ρ of radius r centered at $0 \in \mathbb{D}$. Consider limit point $z \in \beta S \times \mathbb{D}$ of $\{z_j\}$ such that $\widetilde{D}(z) = w$. Further, let $\xi \times \mathbb{D} \subset \beta S \times \mathbb{D}$ be the disk containing z. Then, by definition, $\widetilde{D}(\xi \times \mathbb{D})$ coincides with some $i_\psi(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore $w \in i_\psi(\mathbb{D}) \cap i_\eta(\mathbb{D})$ and so $\psi = \eta$ (by Theorem 2.2). Let $\{h_l\} \subset \{g_l\}$ be a subset such that its indexes generate a subnet converging to ξ. Then $\{h_l(0)\}$ is interpolating and $\lim_l h_l(\mathbb{D}) = i_\eta(\mathbb{D})$. In particular, $i_\eta(\mathbb{D}) \subset E(M, G_{in})$ and so $w \in E(M, G_{in})$.
We proved that $I \subset E(M, G_{in})$. It remains to prove that $E(M, G_{in}) \subset I$.
Let $y \in i_\eta(z) \subset E(M, G_{in})$. By definition, there is a net $\{g_j\}_{j \in J}$ such that $\{g_j(0)\}$ is an interpolating sequence, $\lim_j g_j = \xi \in G_{in}$ and ξ represents $\eta \in X_G$. In particular, $\lim_j g_j(\mathbb{D}) = i_\eta(\mathbb{D})$ and there is $z \in \mathbb{D}$ so that $\lim_j g_j(z) = y$. Clearly, $\rho(g_j(0), g_j(z)) = \rho(0, z) := a < 1$. Then arguments similar to those used in the proof of Lemma 7.5 show that there is a subsequence $\{g_l\}_{l \in L}$ of $\{g_j\}$ such that $\{g_l(z)\}$ is an interpolating sequence whose closure contains y. Thus $y \in I$.

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Let $H \subset \beta G$ be closure of an interpolating sequence. Then H is open by the definition of topology on βG. Further, G_{in} is union of all such H and therefore it is also open in βG. Now the set $E(M, G_{in})$ is a bundle over M with an open fibre, and, so it is an open subset of $E(M, \beta G)$. In fact, $E(M, G_{in})$ can be covered by a finite number of open sets homeomorphic to $U \times G_{in}$, where $U \subset M$ is open.

To complete the proof of part (a) of the theorem we establish the following

Lemma 7.8 *Let $z \in E(M, \beta G)$ be such that $F(z) \in M_a$. Then $z \in E(M, G_{in})$.*

This together with the previous lemmas will show that $F|_{E(M,G_{in})}$ is a homeomorphism onto M_a.
Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that $z \notin E(M, G_{in})$ and let $\{z_j\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a net converging to z. Since $F(z) \in M_a$, there is a net $\{x_j\}_{j \in J}$ from elements of an interpolating sequence which converges to $F(z)$ in $M(H^\infty)$. Let B be an interpolating Blaschke product with zeros $S = \{x_j\}$, and suppose that

$$\inf_j (1 - |x_j|^2)|B'(x_j)| \geq \delta > 0.$$

Note that δ coincides with the characteristic of $\{x_j\}$. Now according to Lemma 1.4 of Ch. X of [G], there are $\lambda = \lambda(\delta)$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, and $r = r(\delta)$, $0 < r < 1$, such that the set $B_r := \{z \in \mathbb{D}\ ; |B(z)| < r\}$ is the union of pairwise disjoint domains V_n, $x_n \in V_n$, and

$$V_n \subset \{z \in \mathbb{D}\ ; \rho(z, x_n) < \lambda\}.$$

Consider open set $U = \{v \in M(H^\infty)\ ; |B(v)| < r\}$. Since $B(F(z)) = 0$, U is an open neighbourhood of $F(z)$. Furthermore, by definition, the net $\{z_j\}$ converges to $F(z)$ in $M(H^\infty)$ (we assume that $F|_{\mathbb{D}}$ is identity map). Therefore there is a subnet of $\{z_j\}$ containing in U which converges to $F(z)$. (Without loss of generality we may assume that the net itself satisfies this property.) Further, $U \cap \mathbb{D}$ coincides with $\cup_n V_n$ and so $\{z_j\} \subset \cup_n V_n$. Let g_n be a Möbius transformation such that $g_n(0) = x_n$

and S denote the set of indexes of $\{x_n\}$. Consider the map $h : S \times \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ defined by $h(l, z) = g_l(z)$. Then arguments of Lemma 7.7 show that h admits a continuous extension $\widetilde{h} : \beta S \times \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow E(M, \beta G)$. Further $\{z_j\} \subset \cup_n V_n$ and for each $z_j \in Z_n := \{z_j\} \cap V_n$ there is $y_j \in n \times B_r$ such that $h(y_j) = z_j$. Here B_r is the closed ball in $\mathbb{D}$ with respect to ρ of radius r centered at 0. Let $Y \subset \beta S \times B_r$ be closure of $\{y_j\}$ in $\beta S \times \mathbb{D}$. Then $\widetilde{h}(Y)$ is a compact in $E(M, \beta G)$ containing $\{z_j\}$. In particular, there is $y \in Y$ such that $\widetilde{h}(y) = z$. Assume that $y \in \xi \times \mathbb{D}$, $\xi \in \beta S$. Then the set $\widetilde{h}(\xi \times \mathbb{D})$ belongs to some disk $i_\eta(\mathbb{D})$, $\eta \in X_G$. But by definition, $\widetilde{h}(\xi \times 0)$ is a limit point of the interpolating sequence $\{x_j\}$. Therefore $h(\xi \times 0) \in E(M, G_{in})$ and $i_\eta(\mathbb{D}) \subset E(M, G_{in})$. This shows that $z \in E(M, G_{in})$ and contradicts to the original assumption.

The lemma is proved. $\square$

So we proved that $F : E(M, G_{in}) \longrightarrow M_a$ is one-to-one and $F^{-1}(M_a) = E(M, G_{in})$ is open in $E(M, \beta G)$. Therefore $M_a \subset M(H^\infty)$ is open and $F : E(M, G_{in}) \longrightarrow M_a$ is a homeomorphism. The proof of part (a) is complete.

Since $M_a \subset E(M, \beta G)$, statements (b) and (c) follow directly from the corresponding statements of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 (c).

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. $\square$

Remark 7.9 Based on Theorem 1.2 one obtains an alternative proof of the result of Suárez asserting that *dim* $M(H^\infty) = 2$. In fact, according to Proposition 2.2 (a), *dim* $K \leq 2$ for any compact $K \subset M_a$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.4 of [S1], *dim* $M(H^\infty) \setminus M_a = 0$. Then *dim* $M(H^\infty) = 2$ by [N], Ch. 2, Th. 9-11.

We complete this section by the result similar to Proposition 2.2 (d).

Proposition 7.10 *For any different points $x, y \in M(H^\infty)$ there is a connected one-dimensional compact set $l_{x,y} \subset M(H^\infty)$ containing them.*

Proof. Let $x_1, y_1 \in E(M, \beta G)$ be such that $F(x_1) = x$ and $F(y_1) = y$. By Proposition 2.2 (d), there is a connected one-dimensional compact set $l \subset E(M, \beta G)$ containing x_1, x_2. Then $l_{x,y} := F(l) \subset M(H^\infty)$ satisfies the required property. Actually, by Theorem 1.2, *dim* $K \leq 1$ for any compact $K \subset M_a \cap l_{x,y}$. But *dim* $l_{x,y} \cap (M(H^\infty) \setminus M_a) \leq 0$ by [S1], Th. 3.4. Therefore *dim* $l_{x,y} \leq 1$ by [N], Ch. 2, Th. 9-11. Moreover, $l_{x,y}$ is connected because F is continuous. Thus *dim* $l_{x,y} = 1$ (otherwise it is totally disconnected). $\square$

8. Subsets of M_a.

In this section we prove results of Section 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let X be a complex Riemann surface which admits a non-constant bounded holomorphic function. Let $r : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow X$ be the universal covering over X and $H = \pi_1(X)$. We begin with the following

Lemma 8.1 *For every $z \in X$ the sequence $\{r^{-1}(z)\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is interpolating.*

Proof. Let f be a non-constant bounded holomorphic function on X. Then clearly $r^*f \in H^\infty$. Assume, without loss of generality, that r^*f vanishes at points of $r^{-1}(z)$. Further, we can also assume that $0 \in r^{-1}(z)$. In fact, let $y \in r^{-1}(z)$ and $y \neq 0$. Then there is a Möbius transformation g of $\mathbb{D}$, such that $g(y) = 0$. Moreover, g is equivariant with respect to the actions on $\mathbb{D}$ of groups H and gHg^{-1}, respectively. In particular, it determines a biholomorphic mapping $\widetilde{g}$ between quotient spaces $X = \mathbb{D}/H$ and $Y = \mathbb{D}/gHg^{-1}$. Thus Y satisfies conditions of our lemma. Now if we prove that $\{ghg^{-1}(0)\}_{h\in H}$ is interpolating, then $r^{-1}(z) = \{h(y)\}_{h\in H}$ will be also interpolating.

So let r^*f be vanishing at $\{h(0)\}_{h\in H}$. Then according to Th.2.1, Ch. II of [G], $\sum_{h\in H}(1 - |h(0)|) < \infty$. To prove that $\{h(0)\}_{h\in H}$ is interpolating we check property (b) of Theorem 7.4. Since the pseudohyperbolic distance ρ is invariant with respect to the action of the group of Möbius transformations, it suffices to check that $\prod_{h\neq e}|h(0)| \neq 0$. Let $H_1 \subset H$ be such that $1 - |h(0)| < 1/2$ for any $h \in H_1$. Set $H_2 = H \setminus H_1$. Note that if $h \in H_1$ then $\log|h(0)| > -2(1 - |h(0)|)$. Summing these inequalities we obtain

$$\sum_{h\in H_1}\log|h(0)| > -2\sum_{h\in H_1}(1 - |h(0)|) > -\infty.$$

Taking the exponent in the above inequality and noting that H_2 is a finite set we get

$$\prod_{h\neq e}|h(0)| \neq 0.$$

Therefore $\{h(0)\}$ is interpolating. According to the remark given in the proof this case is general so $\{h(y)\}$ is interpolating for any $y \in \mathbb{D}$.

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Remark 8.2 Similarly to the proof of case (1) of Lemma 7.6 one deduces from the above lemma that for any $z_1, z_2 \in X$ sequence $r^{-1}(z_1) \cup r^{-1}(z_2)$ is interpolating.

Consider now the bundle $E(X, \beta H)$ over X with fibre βH. Then as before we have
(a) $\mathbb{D}$ is embedded into $E(X, \beta H)$ as an open everywhere dense subset;
(b) every $f \in H^\infty$ has a continuous extension to $E(X, \beta H)$ (denoted by the same letter) such that for each $\eta \in X_H$, the function $f \circ i_\eta$ belongs to H^∞;
(c) *dim* $E(X, \beta H) = 2$.
According to Lemma 8.1 and Remark 8.2 H^∞ separates points of $E(X, \beta H)$. Therefore there is a continuous injective mapping $I : E(X, \beta H) \longrightarrow M_a$. By Theorem 1.2 (c) for any $\eta \in \beta H/H$, there is $m \in M(H^\infty)$ such that $I(i_\eta(\mathbb{D}))$ belongs to Gleason part $P(m)$. Moreover, let $\xi \in \beta H$ represent η and $\{h_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a net of elements of H converging to ξ. By definition, $I(i_\eta(z)) = \lim_j h_j(z)$ in $M(H^\infty)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then the arguments of Th.1.7 of Ch. X of [G] show that $I(i_\eta(\mathbb{D})) = P(m)$. Obviously, for any $f \in H^\infty$ the function $f \circ I \circ i_\eta \in H^\infty$. It remains to prove that for any open $U \subset E(X, \beta H)$ its image $I(U)$ is open in M_a.

It suffices to prove the statement for open sets generating a basis of topology on $E(X, \beta H)$. We now describe such sets. Let $\widetilde{r} : E(X, \beta H) \longrightarrow X$ be the projection and $r = \widetilde{r}|_{\mathbb{D}}$. Consider a point $z \in X$ and the set $r^{-1}(z) = \{z_i\} \subset \mathbb{D}$. Let ϵ be so small that for $V_i := \{z \in \mathbb{D} \,; \rho(z, z_i) < \epsilon\}$ we have $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and for each i the mapping $r|_{V_i}$ maps univalently V_i onto an open neighbourhood U of $z \in X$. Let $\{z_{n_i}\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a subset of $\{z_n\}$. Denote $V = \cup_i V_{n_i}$ and consider closure $\overline{V}$ in $E(X, \beta H)$. Finally set $V' = \widetilde{r}^{-1}(U) \cap \overline{V}$. Then $V' \subset E(X, \beta H)$ is open and the sets of this form determine a basis of topology on $E(X, \beta H)$. So it suffices to prove that $I(V')$ is open for any such V'. Let $y \in I(V')$ and $y' = \widetilde{r}(I^{-1}(y)) \in U \subset X$. Denote by $B_{y'}$ the interpolating Blaschke product with zeros at $\{y_i\} = \{r^{-1}(y') \cap V_{n_i}\} \subset \mathbb{D}$. Then clearly y is a limit point of $\{y_i\}$ and the set $Y = \{v \in M(H^\infty); |B_{y'}(v)| < \epsilon'\}$ is an open neighbourhood of y. We will prove that if $\epsilon' > 0$ is sufficiently small then $Y \subset I(V')$. In fact, according to Lemma 1.4 of Ch. X of [G], for sufficiently small $\epsilon' > 0$ intersection $Y \cap \mathbb{D}$ is disjoint union of sets $Y_n \subset \{z \in \mathbb{D} \,; \rho(y_n, z) < \lambda\}$, where $\lambda = \lambda(\epsilon') > 0$. Moreover, if $\epsilon' \to 0$ then we can also choose $\lambda \to 0$. So we can take ϵ' so small that $Y \cap \mathbb{D} \subset V_1 \subset V'$, where V_1 is a compact subset of V'. Therefore $\overline{Y \cap \mathbb{D}}$ contains Y and belongs to the compact $I(V_1)$. In particular, $Y \subset I(V')$. This shows that $I(V')$ is open.

Theorem 2.3 is proved. □

Remark 8.3 Using the arguments of Section 5 we can point out embedded and non-embedded analytic disks in $E(X, \beta H) \subset M(H^\infty)$.

Proof of Corollary 2.4. Let $X \subset \mathbb{D}$ be an open set obtained by removing from $\mathbb{D}$ a finite or countable number of disjoint closed disks. Then clearly X admits a non-constant holomorphic function and $H = \pi_1(X)$ is free group with finite or countable number of generators. By Theorem 2.3 $E(X, \beta H)$ is an open subset of $M(H^\infty)$. Let now ξ_1 and ξ_2 be different points of βH such that $\overline{P(\xi_1)} \cap \overline{P(\xi_2)} \neq \emptyset$, where $P(\xi_i) := \{\xi_i h,\ h \in H\}$, $i = 1, 2$. We have to prove that one of these sets contains the other. Let $\widetilde{\xi}_i$ be image of ξ in the quotient set $X_H = \beta H / H$, $i = 1, 2$. Consider analytic disks $P_i := i_{\widetilde{\xi}_i}(\mathbb{D}) \subset E(X, \beta H) \subset M_a$, $i = 1, 2$. Then every such disk is a non-trivial Gleason part. According to our assumption closures $\overline{P_1}, \overline{P_2}$ in $M(H^\infty)$ have non-empty intersection. Therefore the above assumption together with Corollary 2.7 of [S2] imply that one of these sets contains the other. But since $E(X, \beta H)$ is an open subset of $M(H^\infty)$, we have $\overline{P_i} \cap E(X, \beta H)$, $i = 1, 2$, coincides with closure P_i' of P_i in $E(X, \beta H)$. In particular, one of the sets P_1', P_2' contains the other. Assume, e.g., that $P_2' \subset P_1'$. Further, let $y \in X$ and $U_y \subset X$ be an open disk centered at y. Then bundle $E(X, \beta H)$ over U_y is homeomorphic to $U_y \times \beta H$ (without loss of generality we may identify these sets). According to the above arguments, $\{(y, \xi_2 h)\}_{h \in H}$ is in the closure of $\{U_y \times \xi_1 g\}_{g \in H}$. Therefore for any $h \in H$ there is a net $\{(z_j, \xi_1 g_j)\}_{j \in J}$, $z_j \in U_y, g_j \in H$, converging to $(y, \xi_2 h)$. From here it follows immediately that $\lim_j z_j = y$. Thus $\lim_j (y, \xi_1 g_j) = (y, \xi_2 h)$. This shows that $\overline{P(\xi_2)} \subset \overline{P(\xi_1)}$.

The corollary is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6. First prove that $\mathbb{D}$ is dense in the maximal ideal space $M(A_Y)$ of the algebra A_Y. It is equivalent to the following problem:
Let $f_1, ...f_n$ be holomorphic functions from A_Y satisfying

$$\max_i |f_i(z)| > \delta \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{8.1}$$

Find functions $g_1, ..., g_n$ in A_Y so that

$$\sum_i f_i g_i = 1.$$

Since A_Y is closure in $C(\mathbb{D})$ of the algebra generated by all $a^*(H_U)$, it suffices to solve the above problem under assumption: there is a domain U, $\overline{Y} \subset U \subset Z$, and bounded holomorphic functions $f_1', ..., f_n'$ defined on $r_Z^{-1}(U)$ and satisfying (8.1) there such that $a^*(f_i') = f_i$, $i = 1, ..., n$. In particular, it suffices to find a domain V, $\overline{Y} \subset V \subset U$, and bounded holomorphic functions $g_1', ..., g_n'$ defined on $r_Z^{-1}(V)$ such that $\sum g_i' f_i' = 1$. Further, similarly to constructions of Section 6, define a Banach holomorphic vector bundle E_U over U with fiber $l^\infty(H)$ associated with the universal covering $r_Z : r_Z^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U$. Then we can think of a bounded holomorphic function on $r_Z^{-1}(U)$ as a holomorphic section of E_U. Moreover, the natural multiplication defined on l^∞ determines a multiplication on sections of E_U. In what follows we identify bounded functions on $r_Z^{-1}(U)$ with sections of E_U. Set $\phi_i(z) = \overline{f_i'}(z) / \sum\ |f_k'(z)|^2$, $i = 1, ..., n$. Then according to (8.1) each ϕ_i is a smooth section of E_U (existence of derivatives follows from the normality) and $\sum \phi_i f_i' = 1$. In particular, $\overline{\partial}\phi_i$ is a well-defined $(0,1)$-form with values in E_U. Consider now E_U-valued $\overline{\partial}$-equations

$$\overline{\partial} b_{j,k} = \phi_j \overline{\partial} \phi_k, \qquad 1 \leq j, k \leq n. \tag{8.2}$$

Since U is a Stein manifold, the results of [B], sect. 4 imply that there are smooth sections $b_{j,k}$ of E_U (not necessary bounded) that solve (8.2). (This is equivalent to the identity $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_{E_U}) = 0$, where $\mathcal{O}_{E_U}$ is the sheaf of germs of local holomorphic sections of E_U.) Let now a domain V be such that $\overline{Y} \subset \overline{V} \subset U$. Then $b_{j,k}|_V$ is bounded ($b_{j,k}(V) \subset E_U$ is a precompact). Therefore

$$g_j'(z) = \phi_j(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (b_{j,k} - b_{k,j}) f_k(z)', \quad j = 1, ..., n,$$

are bounded holomorphic sections over V satisfying $\sum\ (g_j' f_j')|_V = 1$ (see also [G], Ch. VIII). Further, according to our identification g_j' represents a bounded holomorphic function on $r_Z^{-1}(V)$. Thus the functions $a^*(g_j') \in A_Y$, $j = 1, ..., n$, solve the required corona problem.

So we proved that $\mathbb{D}$ is dense in $M(A_Y)$. Note also that any function $f \in A_Y$ admits a continuous extension to $E(\overline{Y}, \beta H)$ and according to Lemma 8.1 and Remark 8.2 the extended algebra separates points of $E(\overline{Y}, \beta H)$. Since the above space is a compact and $\mathbb{D}$ is dense in $M(A_Y)$, the space $E(\overline{Y}, \beta H)$ is homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space $M(A_Y)$. Then Proposition 2.2 (a) asserts that $dim\ M(A_Y) = 2$. Therefore Theorem 3 of [L] and the results of Section 3 show that the problem of the complementation of matrices is valid for A_Y.

The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 8.4 Let $\widetilde{r}_Z : E(\overline{Y}, \beta H) \longrightarrow \overline{Y}$ be the map extending r_Z. Then the Šilov boundary of A_Y coincides with $\widetilde{r}_Z^{-1}(\overline{Y} \setminus Y)$.

Assume that Y is a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying conditions of Theorem 2.6 and $\mathbb{C}\setminus\overline{Y}$ is a disjoint union of connected domains. Then using some approximation theorems one can show that the extension of A_Y to $E(\overline{Y},\beta H)$ coincides with the algebra of holomorphic functions continuous up to the boundary $\widetilde{r}_Z^{-1}(\overline{Y}\setminus Y)$.

References

[B] L. Bungart, On analytic fibre bundles I. Holomorphic fibre bundles with infinite dimensional fibres. Topology **7** 1(1968), 55-68.

[Br] G. Bredon, Sheaf theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[Bru] A. Brudnyi, Matrix-valued corona theorem for multiply connected domains. Preprint (1999), 6 pp.

[C] L.Carleson, Interpolation of bounded analytic functions and the corona problem. Ann. of Math. **76** (1962), 547-559.

[C1] L. Carleson, An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 921-930.

[F] H. Furstenberg, Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1981.

[G] J. Garnett, Bounded analytic functions. Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[Hi] F. Hirzebruch, Topological methods in Algebraic Geometry. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.

[Ho] K. Hoffman, Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts. Ann. of Math. **86** (2) (1967), 74-111.

[Hu] D. Husemoller, Fibre bundles. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.

[Gr] H. Grauert, On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds. Ann. of Math. **68** (2) (1958), 460-472.

[L] V. Lin, Holomorphic fibering and multivalued functions of elements of a Banach algebra. Funct. Anal. and its Appl. English translation, **7**(2) (1973), 122-128.

[N] K. Nagami, Dimension Theory. Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[Ni] N. Nikol'skii, Treatise on the shift operator. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

[S] D. Suárez, Čech cohomology and covering dimension for the H^∞ maximal ideal space. J. of Func. Analysis **123** (1994), 233-263.

[S1] D. Suárez, Trivial Gleason parts and the topological stable rank of H^∞. Amer. J. Math. **118** (1996), 879-904.

[S2] D. Suárez, Maximal Gleason parts for H^∞. Michigan Math. J. **45** (1998), 55-72.

Department of Mathematics
Ben Gurion University of the Negev, P.O.B. 653,
Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel.